



Faculty Review of Open eTextbooks

The [California Open Educational Resources Council](http://www.cool4ed.org) has designed and implemented a faculty review process of the free and open eTextbooks showcased within the California Open Online Library for Education (www.cool4ed.org). Faculty from the California Community Colleges, the California State University, and the University of California were invited to review the selected no/low cost and open eTextbooks using a rubric. Faculty received a stipend for their efforts and funding was provided by the State of California, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Textbook Name:

Microeconomics: Theory through Applications



Microeconomics: Theory Through Applications

License:



This text was adapted by The Saylor Foundation under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/) without attribution as requested by the work's original creator or licensee.

Find it: [eTextbook Website](#)

Textbook Author(s):

Russell Cooper and Andrew John

Reviewed by:

Daniel MacDonald

Institution:

California State University, San Bernardino

Title/Position:

Associate Professor, Department of Economics


Format Reviewed:

[PDF](#)

A small fee may be associated with various formats.

Date Reviewed:

August, 2014



California OER Council eTextbook Evaluation Rubric

CA Course ID: [ECON 201](#)

Subject Matter (30 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
Is the content accurate, error-free, and unbiased?					X	
Does the text adequately cover the designated course with a sufficient degree of depth and scope?						X
Does the textbook use sufficient and relevant examples to present its subject matter?						X
Does the textbook use a clear, consistent terminology to present its subject matter?					X	
Does the textbook reflect current knowledge of the						X

Subject Matter (30 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
subject matter?						
Does the textbook present its subject matter in a culturally sensitive manner? (e.g. Is the textbook free of offensive and insensitive examples? Does it include examples that are inclusive of a variety of races, ethnicities, and backgrounds?)					X	

Total Points: 27 out of 30

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook.

This textbook is superior to many other principles textbooks on the market in terms of depth of coverage of theoretical microeconomics principles.

Instructional Design (35 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
Does the textbook present its subject materials at appropriate reading levels for undergrad use?				X		
Does the textbook reflect a consideration of different learning styles? (e.g. visual, textual?)					X	
Does the textbook present explicit learning outcomes aligned with the course and curriculum?				X		
Is a coherent organization of the textbook evident to the reader/student?						X
Does the textbook reflect best practices in the instruction of the designated course?					X	
Does the textbook contain sufficient effective ancillary materials? (e.g. test banks, individual and/or group activities or exercises, pedagogical apparatus, etc.)				X		
Is the textbook searchable?					X	

Total Points: 26 out of 35 points

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook.

The design is good, if possibly somewhat challenging for a typical first-year economics course.

Editorial Aspects (25 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
Is the language of the textbook free of grammatical, spelling, usage, and typographical errors?						X
Is the textbook written in a clear, engaging style?						X
Does the textbook adhere to effective principles of design? (e.g. are pages laid out and organized to be clear and visually engaging and effective? Are colors, font, and typography consistent and unified?)						X
Does the textbook include conventional editorial features? (e.g. a table of contents, glossary, citations and further references)				X		
How effective are multimedia elements of the textbook? (e.g. graphics, animations, audio)					X	

Total Points: 22 out of 25

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook.

There are no innovations in editorial aspects, but the format is consistent and adequate.

Access (30 possible points)	N/A (0 pts)	Very Weak (1pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
Is the textbook compatible with standard and commonly available hardware/software in college/university campus student computer labs?					X	
Is the textbook accessible in a variety of different electronic formats? (e.g. .txt, .pdf, .epub, etc.)			X			
Can the textbook be printed easily?					X	

Does the user interface implicitly inform the reader how to interact with and navigate the textbook?					X	
How easily can the textbook be annotated by students and instructors?				X		

Total Points: 17 out of 30

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook.

No comments.

Overall Ratings (10 possible points)	Not at all (0 pts)	Very Weak (1 pt)	Limited (2 pts)	Adequate (3 pts)	Strong (4 pts)	Superior (5 pts)
What is your overall impression of the textbook?				X		
	Not at all (0 pts)	Strong reservations (1 pt)	Limited willingness (2 pts)	Willing (3 pts)	Strongly willing (4 pts)	Enthusiastically willing (5 pts)
How willing would you be to adopt this book?			X			

Overall Comments

If you were to recommend this textbook to colleagues, what merits of the textbook would you highlight?

- The depth of the material, the innovative instructional design (the textbook really does achieve its goal of explaining theory using applications, which its authors should be praised for).
- The clear and engaging writing.

What areas of this textbook require improvement in order for it to be used in your courses?

- The book might be too challenging for a standard principles course.
- Also, there is a lack of key terms and definitions, because the authors try to build up everything through their "application-first" approach.
- These facts could place some burdens on the instructor to develop a lot of instructional material by him/herself.

The student must also commit to a lot of reading (re: my "too challenging" comment).

We invite your feedback on the textbook or the review to the [textbook site in MERLOT](#).
(Please [register](#) in MERLOT to post your feedback.)



For questions or more information, contact the [CA Open Educational Resources Council](#)



This [review](#) is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).